Approved For Release 2003/12/02 : CIA-RDP75-00149R000200880026-9

Sen. Fulbright and the News

We have a group, including many Right Question, but Wrong And syour readers, which meets bis swers"—just like another schoolboy, monthly in both Los Angeles and regardless of his prodigious mind. Pasadena, who now ask: "Is The Times becoming a pro-Fulbright fol-

News items on Page 1 on July 29 . and 30 seem to verify this accusation. Toni Lambert quotes Sen. Ful-bright's acceptance of an apology from CIA Director Helms—and then remarks that he (Sen. Fulbright) said it "magnanimously,"

We are inclined to believe that everything the senator says or does, demonstrates a selfish motive, rather than a 'magnanimous' one.

The senator has proven this too often by his 'rabble-rousing' public-ly. As Ernest Conine says in his co-lumn (July 27) "Fulbright Poses the

We still believe there should be more attention and credit given to the warning predictions of the late. Gen. MacArthur regarding the necessity of our defense bastions on; the islands of Formosa, Okinawa, and the Philippines, and their connection with an undeclared war in Vietnam.

It certainly is a foregone conclusion that the Chinese Reds and their Viet Cong ally, would sweep around? that vulnerable corner to take possession of these islands, in event they were successful in the current. Vietnam struggle. Then—as Gen. MacArthur said: — "Where would that leave us?" Behind the proverbi-al 'eight ball. Why doesn't Sen. Fulbright admit this catastrophic possibility?

WILLIAM WALLACE, Los Angeles

The Times is not a "Fulbright-follower." We simply report the facts.—Ed.